

## Lecture 9. Stochastic optimal control.

Optimal control : • We have a system  $y = y(t)$  and we can influence the system via control  $\alpha(t)$ .  $y$  solves:

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t), \alpha(t)).$$

• Goal : Choose  $\alpha$  to maximise/minimise some function of  $y, \alpha$ .

Our goal. Study the stochastic version, avoid technicalities by focusing on the "verification thm".

---

Def 9.1. (Controlled SDE).

Consider  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  with a  $d$ -dim BM  $B_t$ . The basic object of stochastic control theory is an SDE with a control input:

$$(*) \begin{cases} dX_t^\alpha = \mu(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t) dB_t \\ X_0^\alpha = x. \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sigma: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $A$  is the control set, and  $\alpha_t$  is the control process / control law

Def 9.2. The control law  $\alpha$  is called an admissible strategy if

i)  $\alpha_t$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted

ii)  $\alpha_t(\omega) \in A$  for every  $t, \omega$ .

iii) (\*) has a unique strong solution.

Def 9.3. An admissible strategy  $\alpha$  is called a Markov strategy if

$$\alpha_t = \alpha(t, X_t^\alpha) \text{ for some } \alpha: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow A.$$

Remark. With  $\alpha$  Markovian,  $X_t^\alpha$  is Markovian.

— easy to implement,

— method in our course automatically gives rise to Markov strategies

### Problem Formulation

• What to optimise?  $\rightarrow$  A payoff functional.

— Associated to  $\alpha$ .

— In the form of expectation.

Def 9.4. (Expected payoff function)

Recall the cylinder set  $D_T = [0, T) \times D$  with boundary  $\Gamma_T$ , let

$$\Psi^\alpha: D_T \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ (running payoff)}$$

$$\Phi: \Gamma_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ (final payoff)}$$

We define the (finite time horizon) expected payoff function  $J^\alpha$ :

$$J^\alpha(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \Phi(X_T^\alpha) + \int_t^T \Psi^\alpha(s, X_s^\alpha) ds \right].$$

and the value function  $V$ :

$$V(t, x) = \sup_{\alpha \in A} J^\alpha(t, x) = J^{\alpha^*}(t, x).$$

such a  $\alpha^*$ , if exists, is called an optimal control

Our goal: Find  $\alpha^*$  and  $V$ .

Remark. Two other common formulations are

i) Indefinite time horizon:

Consider  $T := \min \left\{ \inf \{s \geq t : X_s^\alpha \notin D\}, T \right\}$ ,

$$J^\alpha(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \Phi(T, X_T^\alpha) + \int_t^T \Psi^\alpha(s, X_s^\alpha) ds \right]$$

ii) Infinite time horizon  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

$$J_\pi^\alpha(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^\infty e^{-\pi s} \Psi^\alpha(s, X_s^\alpha) ds \right]$$

where  $\pi > 0$  (discounting).

Two questions to ask:

1. Does  $\alpha^*$  exist?  $J^{\alpha^*}(t, x) \leq J^\alpha(t, x)$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ .

2. If so, how to find it?

We assume  $\alpha^*$  exists now, and answer 2.

Idea: Dynamic programming

Lemma 9.5 (Bellman's principle of Optimality).

If  $\alpha^*$  is optimal on  $[t, T]$ , then it is also optimal on every subinterval  $[s, T]$ , where  $s \in [t, T]$ .

Prf. Iterated expectation.

---

Now we shall derive a PDE, and show that solving the control problem is equivalent to solving this PDE.

Strategy.

- Fix  $(t, x) \in D_T$ .
- Choose  $h > 0$  small,  $t+h < T$ .
- Choose an arbitrary  $\alpha \in A$ .

Comparing 2 scenarios i) Let  $\alpha_1 = \alpha^*$  for all  $s \in [t, T]$ .

$$\text{ii) Let } \alpha_2 = \begin{cases} \alpha, & s \leq t+h \\ \alpha^*, & s > t+h. \end{cases}$$

Expected payoff for i).

$$J^{\alpha_1}(t, x) = J^{\alpha^*}(t, x) = V(t, x).$$

• Expected payoff for ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^{\alpha_2}(t, x) &= \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \Phi(X_T^{\alpha_2}) + \int_t^T \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha_2}) ds \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \Phi(X_T^{\alpha^*}) + \int_{t+h}^T \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha^*}) ds + \int_t^{t+h} \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha}) ds \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^{t+h} \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha}) ds + \mathbb{E}_{t+h, X_{t+h}^{\alpha}} \left[ \Phi(X_T^{\alpha^*}) + \int_{t+h}^T \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha^*}) ds \right] \right] \\
 \text{Markov} \rightarrow & \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \underbrace{V(t+h, X_{t+h}^{\alpha})}_{\text{Markov}} + \int_t^{t+h} \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha}) ds \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

• Trivially,  $V(t, x) \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\geq} J^{\alpha_2}(t, x)$ .

• Assume  $V$  smooth, Apply Ito on  $V$ :

$$V(t+h, X_{t+h}^{\alpha}) = V(t, x) + \int_t^{t+h} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{\alpha} V \right) ds + \int_t^{t+h} \dots dB_s.$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{t, x} [V(t+h, X_{t+h}^{\alpha})] \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{=} V(t, x) + \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^{t+h} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{\alpha} V \right) ds \right].$$

Combining  $\textcircled{1}$  and  $\textcircled{2}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^{t+h} \Psi(s, X_s^{\alpha}) ds \right] \leq - \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^{t+h} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^{\alpha} V \right) ds \right]$$

Take it to the limit now:

Divide by  $h$ , move it in the expectation, let  $h \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + \int^\alpha V(t, x) + \Psi^\alpha(t, x) \leq 0$$

Obs. i) The equality holds iff  $\alpha = \alpha^*$

ii)  $(t, x)$  is arbitrary  $\Rightarrow$  holds for all  $(t, x) \in D_T$ .

iii)  $V(T, x) = \phi(x)$ .

Taking sup on both sides, we arrive at:

Thm 9.6 (Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman eqn).

If the value fcn  $V \in C^{1,2}$ , and  $\alpha^*$  exists, then  $V$  solves

the HJB-eqn:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}(t, x) + \sup_{\alpha \in A} \left\{ \Psi^\alpha(t, x) + \int^\alpha V(t, x) \right\} = 0, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$V(T, x) = \phi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and for each  $(t, x)$  the supremum is attained by  $\alpha = \alpha^*$ .

Prf (we sketched).

Remark HJB says, assume  $V$  regular, then.

" $V$  optimal &  $\alpha^*$  exists  $\Rightarrow V$  solves the HJB."

This is the **necessary** condition!

Question. Suppose we solved HJB, have we found  $V$  and  $\alpha^*$ ?

Yes! " $\Leftarrow$ " also holds, HJB is also sufficient.

Thm 9.7 (The verification Thm).

If  $H \in C^{1,2}$  solves the HJB-equ,  $g$  is admissible and for each  $(t, x)$ ,  $\sup_{\alpha} \{ \Psi(t, x, \alpha) + \int_t^T H(s, x_s^\alpha) ds \} = \Psi(t, x, g) + \int_t^T H(s, x_s^g) ds$ ,

then  $H = V$  is the value function, and the optimal control

$\alpha^*$  exists,  $\alpha^* = g$ .

Prf. " $H \geq V$ ":

Choose arbitrary  $\alpha \in A$ , fix  $(t, x)$ . Apply Itô on  $H$ .

$$H(T, X_T^\alpha) \stackrel{(*)}{=} H(t, x) + \int_t^T \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^\alpha H \right)(s, X_s^\alpha) ds + \int_t^T -dB_s.$$

•  $H$  solves HJB  $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^\alpha H + \Psi^\alpha \leq 0 \quad \forall \alpha$ .

Therefore,  $\left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}^\alpha H \right)(s, X_s^\alpha) \leq -\Psi^\alpha(s, X_s^\alpha)$  for all  $s$ .

• Bdr condition:  $H(T, X_T^\alpha) = \Phi(X_T^\alpha)$ , thus.

$$\Phi(X_T^\alpha) \leq H(t, x) + \int_t^T -\Psi^\alpha(s, X_s^\alpha) ds + \int_t^T -dB_s$$

Taking expectation  $\mathbb{E}_{t, x}$ :

$$H(t, x) \geq \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^T \Psi^\alpha(s, X_s^\alpha) ds + \Phi(X_T^\alpha) \right] = J^\alpha(t, x).$$

Taking sup:

$$\underline{H}(t, x) \geq \sup_{\alpha} J^\alpha = V(t, x).$$

" $H \leq V$ ".

Take specifically  $\alpha = g$ . Similarly, since

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \Psi^\alpha + \int^g H = 0.$$

(\*) yields

$$H(t, x) = \mathbb{E}_{t, x} \left[ \int_t^T \Psi^g(s, X_s^g) ds + \Phi(X_T^g) \right] = J^g(t, x).$$

Trivially,  $V(t, x) \geq J^g(t, x) = H(t, x)$ .

$\Rightarrow V = H$ , and  $g$  is the optimal control. □

Remark 9.8. (On HJB).

i). If we consider an "inf" problem instead of "sup":

$$V(t, x) = \inf_{\alpha} J^\alpha(t, x).$$

then HJB still holds with the term

$$\inf_{\alpha} \{ \Psi^\alpha(t, x) + \int^\alpha V(t, x) \}.$$



ii). HJB generalizes to the "indefinite time horizon" case naturally, with  $T$  the hitting time of  $\Gamma_T$ .

---

How to use HJB in 3 steps.

1. Write down HJB corresponding to your problem.
2. Fix  $(t, x)$ , find where  $\sup_{\alpha} (\psi^{\alpha}(t, x) + \int^{\alpha} V(t, x))$  is attained.  
call the solution  $\alpha^*$ , our candidate for the optimal control.
3. plug  $\alpha^*$  in HJB, solve the resulting PDE, use the verification theorem to identify  $V$  and  $\alpha^*$ .

But how to solve the PDE in 3?

— In general very difficult.

— make ansatz.

— consider structural properties of  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ .

"Guess" and "Verify"

